| DATE | SCIENTIST | PUBLIC STATEMENT | PRIVATE STATEMENTS | PUBLIC SOURCE | PRIVATE <br> SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weird: September 8, 2011 | Ian Lipkin | Twitter: "Lipkin: bat habitat destruction scene @ the end of film is a powerful reminder of the zoonotic origin of many emerging infectious disease " |  | Tweet |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 312020 | Kristian Andersen |  | SARS-CoV-2 genome "inconsistent with expectations from evolutionary theory," |  | Email to Fauci |
| February 1, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | The virus' characteristics were "exactly what was expected by engineering" and ""Bob [Garry] said the insertion was the 1st thing he would add." |  | Slack |
| February 1, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Yeah, the furin site would be the first thing to add for sure," |  | Slack |
| February 1, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "I think the main thing still in my mind is that the lab escape version of this is so friggin' likely to have happened because they were already doing this type of work and the molecular data is fully consistent with that scenario." |  | Slack |
| February 2, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Question is - evolution or engineering. My problem is that both really rather plausible." |  | Slack |
| February 2, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "(t)he main issue is that accidental escape is in fact highly likely — it's not some fringe theory." |  | Slack |
| February 2, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Natural selection and accidental release are both plausible scenarios explaining the data - and a priori should be equally weighed as possible explanations." Furin site, he says, "moves me slightly more towards accidental release." |  | Slack |
| February 2, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "I still don't know if the nCov was the results (sic) of a deliberate manipulation or not." |  | Slack |
| February 2, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "If nothing else - the fact that we are discussing this shows how plausible it is." |  |  |
| February 2, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "Given the shitshow that would happen if anyone serious accused the Chinese of even accidental release," he favors saying they cannot determine which, "so we are content to ascribing it to natural processes. There is nothing scientific about this assessment; it's entirely political. |  |  |
| February 3, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "Transmitting a bat virus like RatG13 in HeLa cells and then asking your graduate student to insert a furin site..." The says "It's not crackpot to suggest this could have happened given the GoF research we know is happening." |  | Slack |
| February 3, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Bottom line is that we can't prove whether this is natural or escape," |  | Slack |
| February 3, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "For this to have occurred in nature you have to posit the existance (sic) of a Bat virus that is exactly like RatG13 and nCov in all of S2 except that it has some variant of the polybasic cleavage domain." |  | Slack |
|  |  |  | describes how perfectly well-suited the virus was for human transmission: "Of course the hypothetical virus with the optimal furinlike site also had to evolve a near perfect RBD that was as $K$ put it was "lock and loaded" to bind to human ACE." |  | Slack |
| February 3, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "I think we can't prove either way" |  |  |
| February 3, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | says in email that Fauci convened a Feb 3 call, and that the National Academy of Sciences would be putting out a statement about this " not being engineering." Says he's ignoring journalists' queries. |  |  |


| February 4, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | Andersen says Fauci called the NAS meeting and that "a statement about this not being engineering should be coming out" from it. |  | email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 4, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | Garry says he believes the furin site was the result of cell culture: "I think that if you put selection pressure on a Cov without a furin cleavage site in cell culture you could well generate a furin cleavage site after a number of passages." |  | email |
| February 4, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "I also re-jigged it so the engineering is not one of the scenarios but is ruled out explicitly." |  | Slack |
| February 5, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | Lab leak theory would be "irresponsible to dismiss." |  | email |
| February 8, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Never before has a zoonotic virus jumped into humans and spread through the population like wildfire with this kind of speed. This in itself would require further inquiry as the virus is obviously highly capable of 'living' in the human population." |  | Slack |
| February 8, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | passaging is being done in Wuhan and "(t)hat in itself means we can't just dismiss a lab theory off hand by saying 'not possible.' That would be very foolhardy." |  |  |
| February 8, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "I think you did the right thing to make it completely neutral scientifically. Good idea not to mention all the other anomalies as this will make us look like loons." |  |  |
| February 6, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "I just wish there was a way to conclusively say one way or the other, but without that intermediate host or very early cases, there's just no telling, IMO." |  |  |
| February 5, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "I hope Fazan and Fouchier have this data. It would render the already dead bioengineered scenario totally and completely dead....It would also make a strong case for the cell culture/accidental escape model." |  | Slack |
| February 6, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "I am quite convinced it has been put there by evolution (whether natural selection or artificial)" - again, leaving door open for passaging. | Slack |  |
| February 6, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "I am thinking of just replying and saying that 'I see nothing in the genome that would make me believe it has been genetically manipulated in a lab.' Seem reasonable? I don't want to say I won't say anything." |  | Slack |
| February 6, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "NYT serious - McNeil very credible by (sic) like every other reporter can be mislead (sic)" and "It would be prudent to continue to prethink responses" |  |  |
| February 6, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | Holmes quotes Farrar asking if it's "possible to dampen down further the 'conspiracy' idea and make it totally neutral?" Says WHO wants paper out sooner. |  |  |
| February 82020 | Kristian Andersen | "National security? White House? Spooks? I wish my life was that exciting, but I unfortunately don't have anything to add here - my existence isn't really in Technicolor, so I'm just focused on the science ;-)." | Andersen writes that the smiley face was "very deliberate" and that his reply "includes humor to deflect from the fact that I'm dismissing him.... I really fucking wished my life wasn't this exciting... " | email to NYT reporter McNeil | Slack |
| February 8, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Our main work over the last couple weeks has been focused on trying to disprove any type of lab theory." |  | email |
| February 8, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "We should all just stay on Slack, that's what we should do - and not use email." |  | Slack |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| February 9, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | Notes that SARS had several accidental releases and not addressing it would create "blowback....We know two groups were doing metagenomics and growing CoV from pangolins perhaps for years. Escape via a custodian or researchers could happen from a lab and you would PROBABLY never know it." |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 9, 2020 | Marion Koopmans |  | emails Andersen and others - including Fauci and Collins - on Feb 9, urging them not to publish "something specific on the lab escape hypothesis," because "this will generate its own conspiracy theories." |  | emails |
| February 10, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | Emails Lipkin and says, "the furin cleavage site is an issue." |  | email |
| February 11, 2020 | Ian Lipkin |  | Emails that while the paper makes a strong case against engineering, "(i)t does not eliminate the possibility of inadvertent release following adaptation through selection in culture at the institute in Wuhan." |  |  |
| TK | Kristian Andersen |  | "The main concern coming up reading through all these papers is the kind of stuff that is being done - getting MERS-like viruses to infect humans, getting SARS-like viruses to cause disease in mice and infect humans, etc. There's a very strong focus on the spike protein for all of that work." |  |  |
| February 12, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "For all I know, people could have infected the pangolin, not the other way." |  |  |
| February 16, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | Quotes an email sent to him from Francisc Collins: "This is really well done, and I would argue ought to be made public ASAP (Jeremy sent it this morning)." |  |  |
| February 16, 2020 | Jeremy Farrar |  | Emails Holmes to say he wants it public asap; by $6: 48 \mathrm{pm}$ the same day Sunday he has already submitted saying "pressure from on high." |  |  |
| February 162020 | Edward Holmes |  | "Jeremy Farrar and Francis Collins are very happy. Works for me." |  |  |
| February 16, 2020 | Authors of "Proximal Origin" | "Our analyses clearly show that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus. We do not believe that any type of laboratorybased scenario is plausible." |  | "The Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2," Nature Medicine on February 16, 2020 |  |
| February 16, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | Farrar and Collins email Holmes asking him to make the paper public. Holmes writes that he has submitted the paper after "pressure from on high" and that "Jeremy Farrar and Francis Collins are very happy." |  | Email |
| February 17, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "People are picking up on the fact that we don't rule out animal passaging. (which we don't because it's still plausible)" |  |  |
| February 17, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | Notes his past concern about their paper "backfiring" because "the conclusions were too open-ended," and that "at this stage we unfortunately just can't rule out an accidental infection from the lab." |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 17, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | Tom Cotton "isn't totally wrong." |  | Slack |
| February 17, 2020 |  |  | Andersen proposes a reply to Don McNeil: "we are unable to further comment on our study at this point in time." |  | Slack |
| February 18, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Clearly none of these pangolin sequences was the source though," |  |  |
| February 18, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "Unfortunately the pangolins don't help clarify the story," |  |  |
| February 18, 2020 | Bob Garry |  | "Pangolin seq give no def answer," |  |  |
| February 19, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "One main problem I have too is that my name is on e.g., the NASEM [National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine] letter and other 'official' things looking at this - so I need to be able to deflect potential future enquiries that could directly involve/name me." |  |  |
| February 20, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "we unfortunately can't refute the lab leak hypothesis." |  | Slack |


| February 20, 2020 | Clare Thomas |  | Clare Thomas emails Andersen on Feb 20, saying that the journal is concerned their paper will stoke "conspiracy theories" of lab engineering, despite the fact that the science has not been done to rule such theories out. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 20, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "I literally swivel day by day thinking it is a lab escape or natural." |  | Slack |
| February 20, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "none of this helps refute a lab origin" and calls the hypothesis "a serious scientific theory" which is not "another 'conspiracy' theory." |  | email |
| February 25, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "I don't think this data necessarily argues against accidental infection/release," but indicates that it all could be accounted for through natural evolution |  | Slack |
| February 25, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | asks if they're going to "re-nuance" the paper "to explicitly lower our bet on the lab passaging scenario on the basis that both the cleavage insertions and the full RBD exist in nature." |  | Slack |
| February 27 |  | The virus "does have natural origin" |  | Email to Nature magazine editor |  |
| February 28, 2020 | Andrew Rambaut |  | "lab origin conspiracy loons" |  | Slack |
| March 5, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "No surprise that a zoonotic coronavirus has emerged in humans. But I am genuinely taken aback by the rapidity of its spread. I can only hope that there is a fundamental shift in infectious diseased preparedness after this. We cannot err again." |  | Twitter |  |
| March 7, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "I think we know the drivers - more or less. The shift should be to reducing our exposure to zoonotic pathogens. Simplest and most impactful strategy." |  | Twitter |  |
| March 13, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "NO convincing evidence the virus came out of a lab. Wet markets and the wildlife trade are the problem, not bat virus researchers." |  | Twitter |  |
| April 15, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "If you look, my comments were in response to what @normanswan tweeted saying that the lab escape theory 'had legs'." |  | Twitter |  |
| April 15, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "Michael, we all agree on openness, but there is no evidence for lab escape. Although the bat virus from the Wuhan lab is the closest relative of SARS-CoV-2, this represents at least *20 years* of evolutionary divergence. A lot can happen in that time: https://biorxiv. org/content/10.1101/2020.03.30.015008 v1" |  | Twitter |  |
| April 16, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | Andersen shared cell culturing papers from Shi's lab and said her work was "the main reason I have been so concerned about the 'culture' scenario." "I'm still not fully convinced that no culture was involved," Andersen wrote on April 16. "We also can't fully rule out engineering (for basic research)." |  |  |
| April 16, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | "I'm still not fully convinced that no culture was involved. We also can't fully rule out engineering (for basic research)." |  |  |
| April 17, 2020 | Anthony Fauci |  |  | White House press conference |  |


| April 17, 2020 | Edward Holmes |  | Holmes says that unless there's a WIV whistleblower "we are NEVER going to know what happened in that lab. Never." |  | Slack |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 17, 2020 | Kristian Andersen |  | Says on Slack that Shi "didn't do any GOF work" but "did A LOT of work that involved isolating and culturing SARS-like viruses from bats," which is "the main reason I have been so concerned about the 'culture' scenario." He later provides links to such culturing studies. |  | Slack |
| April 21, 2020 | Edward Holmes | Tweet: "As a wise man once told me "conspiracy theories come and go, taxonomy is forever." |  | Tweet |  |
| April 22, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "Inevitable as you say. And it happened in exactly the sort of place we suspected it could happen, which is why I visited that wet market in 2014: https://cell. com/cell/pdf/S0092-8674(20)30328-7.pdf Again, no evidence for lab escape but plenty of prior info on these jumps in nature. |  | Tweet |  |
| April 23, 2020 | Edward Holmes | Tweet: "Edward, if you're getting trolled about lab escape conspiracy theories or being asked about whether SARS-CoV-2 will mutate, you have my humblest apologies. Best, Edward. |  | Tweet |  |
| April 30, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "I cannot speak for the Wuhan Institute of Virology, but *no* lab work on bat viruses was performed at the Wuhan CDC. All this work was done at the China CDC in Beijing. There is *no* strain collection at the Wuhan CDC. Dr. Tian 'only' collected samples." |  | Tweet |  |
| June 3, 2020 | Edward Holmes | "Notable that two of the most recent lab escape 'papers' are by people with their own vaccine companies |  | Tweet |  |
| June 7, 2020 | Edward Holmes | Tweet: "There are also idiotic claims that the bat virus RaTG13 has been engineered to look like SARS-CoV-2. What those conspiracy theorists forget is that the closest relative to SARS-CoV-2 for much the genome is actually a different bat virus called RmYNO2." |  | Tweet |  |
| June 26, 2020 | Edward Holmes | Tweet: "For Contagion II they're going to have to include a decent conspiracy theory over its origins or it just won't be realistic." |  | Tweet |  |
| October 9, 2020 | Edward Holmes | Tweet: "We have to move away from this completely unrealistic 'prediction' narrative. We can and should definitely improve surveillance, but suggesting that we can predict emergence paints a dangerously false picture of what science can achieve." |  | Tweet |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| January 21, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | "I would be insulted if somebody called me a "conspiracy theorist" too. But here's the problem, if somebody says "Kristian conspired with Tony and other experts to silence the Lab Leak", then, by definition, that's a conspiracy theory (which I know to be false)." |  | Tweet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 17, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | "In the absence of any *actual* data for a lab leak, which is firmly where we find ourselves currently, I'd happily consider a properly formulated, testable hypothesis - i.e., the scientific method. It's worked well since the 17 th century. <br> Not" |  | Tweet |  |
| March 17, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | Tweet: "While extraordinary claims do indeed require extraordinary evidence, when it comes to lab leak 'theories' it's more "claims require evidence - any evidence, really, but *some* evidence at a minimum". <br> After one year of political bluster and pure speculation, still nothing." |  | Tweet |  |
| March 19, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | Tweet: "Jesse, I hope you know you're one of my biggest scientific heroes, but let me try to unpack a few of these. While I find it problematic that you're referencing tweets as evidence from nonscientists that are known conspiracy theorist, I'd like to focus on the scientific points." |  | Tweet |  |
| May 4, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | Tweet: " ".... with ties to @NIH <br> @NIAIDNews Collins, Fauci, \& leading US virologists". <br> ".... hard to dismiss lab escape as a conspiracy". <br> Think about it." |  | Tweet |  |
| May 9, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | Tweet: "Does this disprove a lab leak? No. However, it disproves there being a "smoking gun" in the FCS and lends further evidence to natural emergence but it also does not *prove* that scenario. <br> To this day, we have yet to see any scientific evidence supporting a lab leak. |  | Twitter Account |  |
| May 9, 2021 | Kristian Andersen | Tweet: "The SARS-CoV-2 furin cleavage site is yet again in the news - this time because of a quote by Nobel laureate David Baltimore. <br> The site is not a "smoking gun", nor does it "make a powerful challenge to the idea of a natural origin". <br> Quite the opposite, so a little science" |  | Twitter Account |  |



